

Implications from recent measurements on $\sin 2\beta$ and muon $g - 2$

Yue-Liang Wu and Yu-Feng Zhou*

Institute of Theoretical Physics, Chinese Academy of Science, Beijing 100080, China

The recent data on $\mathcal{CP}$ asymmetry in $B \rightarrow J/\psi K_S$ and muon $g - 2$ are discussed in the framework of standard model and beyond. Possible new phase effects besides the CKM phase are discussed in the processes concerning $\mathcal{CP}$ violation in B decays and muon anomalous magnetic moment (muon $g - 2$). It is found that the new phases will result in difference between angles β measured from $B \rightarrow J/\psi K_S$ and the one from global fit. The ration between them serves as a probe of not only new physics but also new phase besides the CKM phase. In the case of muon $g - 2$, the new phase may change the interferences between various contributions. By including the new phases, some cancelations in the real coupling cases can be avoided and the large value of $g - 2$ observed in the recent measurements can be understood.

In the recent months, exciting experimental results were obtained [1, 2] in both heavy and light flavour sectors [3]. With the successfully running of B factories, the time dependent CP asymmetries of decay mode $B \rightarrow J/\psi K_S$ has been observed. It is the first time that CP violation is observed beyond the Kaon system. The result although coincides with the Standard Model (SM) predictions, implies the possibility of new physics beyond the SM. It is known that the SM has achieved great success in phenomenology. However it has not yet been thoroughly tested. Up to now, we still know very little about the intrinsic physics of electro-weak symmetry breaking, the hierarchy of quark lepton mass as well as the origin of $\mathcal{CP}$ violation. In this talk we will discussed the possible new physics contributions to $B \rightarrow J/\psi K_S$ and muon $g - 2$. we will focus on new phases beyond the CKM phase in the new physics models.

In the SM, by using the Wolfenstein parameterization [4] the CKM matrix can be described by four parameters λ , A , ρ and η . As it is unitary, the elements of the matrix must satisfy the unitarity relations. For example, for the columns containing b quarks the unitarity relation is given by $V_{ud}V_{ub}^* + V_{cd}V_{cb}^* + V_{td}V_{tb}^* = 0$.

* Talk delivered by Y.F. Zhou at International Conference on Flavor Physics (ICFP2001), May 31-June 6, at Zhang-Jia-Jie, China.

In the complex plane the relation can be depicted as a closed triangle which is often referred as Unitarity Triangle (UT) and the three angles are defined as α , β and γ respectively. It may be interesting to measure those angle independently and check if their sum is equal to π . That is the simplest way to test the SM. However, the measurement is not easy to be made. There are large difficulties from both theoretical and experimental sides. It is not easy to find a channel which has large branching ratio and small theoretical errors. Fortunately, people found one decay mode of $B \rightarrow J/\psi K_S$ which is suitable for measuring the angle β . In this channel, the branching ratio can reach 10^{-3} and the theoretical error is less than a few percent.

The angle β can be measured through time dependent CP asymmetry $\mathcal{A}_{CP}$ in decay mode $B \rightarrow J/\psi K_S$. The major goal of the current running B factories is to precisely determine the value of $\sin 2\beta$. The First preliminary results in the year 2000 from BaBar and Belle [5, 6] were quite smaller than peoples expectation, the BaBar results was almost compatible with zero. However, the situation changed quickly in the beginning of the year 2001. The improved data gave the average value of $\sin 2\beta \sim 0.47$. A few months ago, both groups reported their latest results. This time the Belle claimed the observation of large $\sin 2\beta \sim 0.99$ [2], which seemed not supported by the BaBar Collaboration. The BaBar result is near 0.59[1]. Now, the latest world average value of $\sin 2\beta$ from time dependent $\mathcal{CP}$ violation in decay $B \rightarrow J/\psi K_S$ is $\sin 2\beta_{J/\psi} = 0.79 \pm 0.1$.

In the SM, the angle $\beta_{\text{fit}}^{\text{SM}}$ extracted from the global fit should be the same as the one measured from the time dependent CP asymmetry in the decay $B \rightarrow J/\psi K_S$. However, if there exists new physics beyond the SM, the situation may be quite different, the angle “ β ” extracted from two different approaches are in general not equal. This is because ϵ_K and Δm_B as well as $B \rightarrow J/\psi K_S$ will receive contributions from new physics in a quite different manner comparing to the ones from the SM. As a consequence, the extracted “ β ” from two ways will become different. In the most general case, one may find that $\beta_{\text{fit}}^{\text{SM}} \neq \beta_{J/\psi} \neq \beta$ when new physics exists and the ratio

$$R_\beta \equiv \frac{\sin 2\beta_{J/\psi}}{\sin 2\beta_{\text{fit}}^{\text{SM}}} \quad (1)$$

is therefore not equal to unity.

In a recent work[7], it is found that the ratio R_β may provide a useful tool for probing new physics. For a detailed consideration, two interesting and typical models are investigated. The one is the model with minimal flavor violation (MFV) [8, 9, 10, 11] which corresponds to the models without new phase beyond the SM such as minimal SUSY models. Another one is the simple extension of SM with two Higgs doublets (S2HDM) motivated from spontaneous $\mathcal{CP}$ violation [12, 13, 14]. In the models with MFV, the value for

R_β can be directly calculated and has the following simple form[7] $R_\beta|_{MFV} \simeq \frac{1-0.79\bar{\eta}}{1-0.79\bar{\eta}_{\text{fit}}^{SM}}$. In this kind of model, as there is no additional $\mathcal{CP}$ violating phase, the $\sin 2\beta_{J/\psi}$ which is measured from $B \rightarrow J/\psi K_S$ should not be affected and equal to the true value of $\sin 2\beta$. Provided that the semileptonic B decay $B \rightarrow X_u(\pi, \rho)\ell\nu_\ell$ ($\ell = e, \mu$) is not likely to be affected by new physics, then the true value of $\bar{\eta}$ can be obtained. It is interesting to point out that the deviation of R_β from unity from such model is always in the opposite sign with the measure R_β . i.e. if the measure R_β is lower than 1, i.e., $R_\beta < 1$ the models with MFV will predict a value larger than 1, i.e., $R_\beta|_{MFV} > 1$, vice versa. This simple observation indicates that if the experiment obtains a value of R_β different from unity, it will be not only a signal of new physics but also a signal of new physics beyond the MFV. In the models with rich sources of $\mathcal{CP}$ violation, such as the two-Higgs-doublet model with spontaneous $\mathcal{CP}$ violation (S2HDM), the possibility of $R_\beta \neq 1$ can be easily accommodated.

Recently, the E821 Collaboration at BNL has reported their improved result on the measurement of muon anomalous magnetic moment $a_\mu = (g_\mu - 2)/2$ [3]. The difference between the measurement and the Standard Model (SM) prediction is reported

$$\Delta a_\mu = a_\mu^{exp} - a_\mu^{SM} = 426 \pm 165 \times 10^{-11}, \quad (2)$$

which shows a relative large deviation ($\sim 2.6\sigma$) from the SM calculation. As muon is about 210 times heavier than electron, it is expected that the new physics effects on muon anomalous moment may be considerable. A large amount of works have been made on checking the new physics contributions to Δa_μ from various models. Here we shall take the standard model with two Higgs doublets (S2HDM) motivated from the study of origin and mechanism of CP violation[12, 13] as an example to discuss the new phase effects. In this kind of model, the Higgs sector of SM is simply extended by including an additional Higgs doublet with all the Yukawa couplings being real. After spontaneous symmetry breaking, the origin of both fermion masses and CP-violating phases can be attributed to the well known Higgs mechanism with a single CP-phase between two vacuum expectation values. Namely CP symmetry is broken spontaneously [15, 16]. This leads to the fact that all the effective Yukawa couplings in the physical basis become complex.

Contributions to muon $g-2$ from the 2HDM of type II have been discussed in Refs.[17, 18]. It seems that the one loop contribution is not large enough to explain the data. Even for a large value of $\tan\beta \sim 50$, one still needs a very light mass of the scalar $M_h \sim 5$ GeV. If both the scalar and pseudo-scalar are included, due to the cancellation between them, the situation will be even worse. One then needs to consider two loop contributions. It was found [19, 20] that from the two-loop diagrams of Barr-Zee type[21], the contributions from pseudo-scalar is positive and could be larger than its negative one

loop contributions. Thus the net effects for pseudo-scalar exchange become positive. Provided a sufficient large value of the coupling $\tan\beta$, its contributions can reach the experimental bound. By including the two loop diagrams, the pseudo-scalar mass must be below 80 GeV even when $\tan\beta$ is large and around 50 [20]. To avoid the cancelation between scalar and pseudo-scalar exchange, the mass of the scalar boson has to be pushed to be very heavy (typically greater than 500 GeV).

In the S2HDM, as there are flavor changing scalar interactions for both neutral and charged scalar bosons, the bounds on the neutral Higgs mass can be released through the inclusion of the internal τ loop at one loop level [22]. Besides this, as it will be shown below that the complex and flavor dependent Yukawa couplings in S2HDM may completely change the interference between one and two loop diagram contributions. As a consequence, one and two loop contributions can be both positive and provide significant contributions to Δa_μ .

The phase effects of the Yukawa coupling has been discussed in the literatures [12, 13, 14, 23, 24]. The complex couplings may lead to sizable CP violation in charged and neutral Higgs boson exchanging processes in quark sector, such as $b \rightarrow s\gamma$ [12, 24, 25], neutral meson mixings [14], etc. In the lepton sector, it may result in large electric dipole moment of leptons [23, 26, 27].

In the case of effective real Yukawa couplings for neutral scalar bosons, h is purely a scalar while A is a pseudo-scalar. The contributions to muon anomalous moment from these two particles always have different signs at both one loop and two loop level. Namely, for scalar h -exchange, the contribution is positive from one loop and negative from two loop. But for pseudo-scalar A -exchange, the one loop contribution is always negative and the two loop contribution is positive. As the experimental data indicate that the deviation of $g - 2$ from SM is positive. The large two loop contribution may lead to the conclusion that the A -exchange must be dominant and the h -exchange must be made to be negligible small.

However, in the general case, the situation can be quite different. As all the couplings are complex in S2HDM, the Yukawa couplings for neutral scalar bosons h and A contain both scalar and pseudo-scalar type fermion interactions. Further more, the couplings are all flavor dependent, which is far away from the 2HDM of type I and II, where the couplings are given by a simple function of $\tan\beta$. The fact that one loop and two loop contributions depend on different complex Yukawa couplings provides an alternative possibility that they may not always cancel each other. In a large parameter space, the two kind of contributions may be constructive and result in a large value of muon $g - 2$.

The one loop flavor conserving contributions from the scalar and pseudo-scalar have been investigated in Refs. [28]. In S2HDM, the results for h are

given by

$$\Delta a_\mu^h = \frac{g^2}{32\pi^2} \frac{m_\mu^4}{m_W^2 m_h^2} \left[(\text{Re}\xi_\mu)^2 \left(\ln \frac{m_h^2}{m_\mu^2} - \frac{7}{6} \right) - (\text{Im}\xi_\mu)^2 \left(\ln \frac{m_h^2}{m_\mu^2} - \frac{11}{6} \right) \right] \quad (3)$$

where g is the weak gauge coupling constant. It can be seen that its contributions decrease rapidly with m_h increasing, which means that the one loop diagram cannot give large contributions to Δa_μ except for a very small value of $m_h \sim 10$ GeV or a very large value of $|\xi_\mu| \sim 70$. Note that in the S2HDM, the contributions from h and A can be negative and positive depending on the sign of $\cos 2\delta_\mu$, which is completely different from the other type of 2HDM, such as type I and type II, where the $h(A)$ loop diagrams always give positive (negative) contributions.

In the case of one loop flavor changing processes, the loops with internal τ -lepton play an important role as it is much heavier than the μ -lepton. This then leads to an enhancement factor of the order $m_\tau^2/m_\mu^2 \sim \mathcal{O}(10^2)$ relative to the flavor conserving one. In S2HDM, the contributions from $h(A)$ exchange are given by

$$\Delta a_\mu^{h(A)} = \pm \frac{g^2}{32\pi^2} \frac{m_\mu^2 m_\tau^2}{m_W^2 m_{h(A)}^2} \left(\ln \frac{m_{h(A)}^2}{m_\tau^2} - \frac{3}{2} \right) |\xi_{\mu\tau}|^2 \cos 2\delta_{\mu\tau} \quad (4)$$

In obtaining the above expression, we have taken $\xi_{\mu\tau} = \xi_{\tau\mu}$ for simplicity. The contributions to Δa_μ may be considerable large when $|\xi_{\mu\tau}|$ is large. For $|\xi_{\mu\tau}| = 30 \sim 50$, the recently reported 2.6 sigma experiment vs. theory deviation can be easily explained even for a heavy scalar boson $m_h > 100$ GeV. So far, there are no strict constraints on the values of the coupling $|\xi_{\mu\tau}|$. Studies on the rare decays $\tau \rightarrow \mu(e)\gamma$ and $\tau \rightarrow 3\mu(e)$ and the electric dipole moment of τ will be useful to provide an interesting constraint on the parameter. However, as the relevant experimental data at present are primitive and such processes often contain more couplings such as ξ_τ and $\xi_{\tau e}$, the resulting constraints can not be clearly obtained and they are not yet be very strong. To obtain the upper bounds on $\xi_{\tau\mu}$, further studies are needed.

For the case that the fermion loop is dominated by top quark, the Barr-Zee type two loop diagrams may lead to a sizable Δa_μ . In S2HDM, their contributions are given by

$$\Delta a_\mu^h = \frac{N_c q_t^2 \alpha^2}{4\pi^2 \sin^2 \theta_W} \frac{m_\mu^2}{m_W^2} \left[\text{Im}\xi_t \text{Im}\xi_\mu f\left(\frac{m_t^2}{m_h^2}\right) - \text{Re}\xi_t \text{Re}\xi_\mu g\left(\frac{m_t^2}{m_h^2}\right) \right] \quad (5)$$

where $N_c = 3$ is the color number and $q_t = 2/3$ is the charge of top quark. $\alpha = 1/137$ and $\sin^2 \theta_W = 0.23$ are the fine structure constant and weak mixing angle respectively. $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ are two integral functions which can be

found in Ref. [21] Unlike in the one loop case, where Δa_μ decreases rapidly with growing m_h , the two loop contributions decrease relative slowly and their signs depend on the value of $\delta_{\mu\tau}$. Therefore for a very large value of m_h , the two loop effects become dominant. Another difference is that in the one loop case the new physics contributions only depend on ξ_μ , while the two loop contributions depend on two couplings ξ_μ and ξ_t , if the fermion loops for b or τ are included [19, 20], it will depend on more parameters. As the two couplings are complex numbers, the interference between one and two loop diagrams may not always be destructive. There exists a large parameter space in which the one and two loop contributions are all positive. Thus they can result in a large contribution to Δa_μ . The constraint of $|\xi_t|$ has been studied in $B^0 - \bar{B}^0$ mixing and $b \rightarrow s\gamma$ as well as the neutron electric dipole moment [7, 12, 23, 24, 26], the typical absolute value for $|\xi_t|$ is of the order $\mathcal{O}(1)$. Taking $|\xi_t| = 1$ and $\delta_t = 0$ as an example, the sum of one and two loop contributions from h -scalar exchange reads

$$\Delta a_\mu = \frac{\alpha |\xi_\mu|}{\sin^2 \theta_W} \frac{m_\mu^2}{m_W^2} \left[\frac{|\xi_\mu|}{8\pi} \frac{m_\mu^2}{m_h^2} \ln \left(\frac{m_h^2}{m_\mu^2} \right) \cos 2\delta_\mu - \frac{\alpha}{3\pi^2} g \left(\frac{m_t^2}{m_h^2} \right) \cos \delta_\mu \right]. \quad (6)$$

It is clear that if δ_μ lies in the range $3\pi/4 \leq \delta_\mu \leq 5\pi/4$, the one and two loop contributions will be constructive. It can be seen that for large values of $\delta_\mu \sim \pi$, the contributions from h -scalar exchange can reach the experimental lower bound even for a heavy scalar with $m_h \sim 200$ GeV. This is quite different from the existed 2HDM calculations in the literature, where the allowed range for the scalar boson A must be less than 100 GeV, and the scalar boson h must be much heavier than A , so that its negative contributions are negligible.

In summary, the current data on CP asymmetry in $B \rightarrow J/\psi K_S$ and muon $g - 2$ can be understood as an implication of new physics beyond the SM. If the differences between experiment and SM predictions are confirmed by future high accuracy data, the physics models which have new phases besides the CKM phase are favored. The difference of $\sin 2\beta$ extracted from $B \rightarrow J/\psi K_S$ and global fit can only be explained by the models with new phase. The large muon anomalous magnetic moment can also be better understood with the inclusion of new phases.

-
- [1] B. Aubert et al. (BaBar) (2001), hep-ex/0107013.
 - [2] K. Abe et al. (Belle) (2001), hep-ex/0107061.
 - [3] H. N. Brown et al. (Muon $g-2$), Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 2227 (2001), hep-ex/0102017.
 - [4] L. Wolfenstein, Phys. Rev. Lett. **51**, 1945 (1983).
 - [5] D. G. Hitlin (BABAR) (2000), hep-ex/0011024.

- [6] A. Abashian et al. (BELLE), Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 2509 (2001), hep-ex/0102018.
- [7] Y.-L. Wu and Y.-F. Zhou (2001), to be published in Int. J. Mod. Phys. A, hep-ph/0102310.
- [8] A. J. Buras and R. Buras, Phys. Lett. **B501**, 223 (2001), hep-ph/0008273.
- [9] A. J. Buras and R. Fleischer (2001), hep-ph/0104238.
- [10] A. J. Buras (2001), hep-ph/0101336.
- [11] A. Ali and E. Lunghi (2001), hep-ph/0105200.
- [12] L. Wolfenstein and Y. L. Wu, Phys. Rev. Lett. **73**, 2809 (1994), hep-ph/9410253.
- [13] Y. L. Wu and L. Wolfenstein, Phys. Rev. Lett. **73**, 1762 (1994), hep-ph/9409421.
- [14] Y. L. Wu and Y. F. Zhou, Phys. Rev. **D61**, 096001 (2000), hep-ph/9906313.
- [15] T. D. Lee, Phys. Rev. **D8**, 1226 (1973).
- [16] T. D. Lee, Phys. Rept. **9**, 143 (1974).
- [17] A. Dedes and H. E. Haber (2001), hep-ph/0105014.
- [18] A. Dedes and H. E. Haber, JHEP **05**, 006 (2001), hep-ph/0102297.
- [19] D. Chang, W.-F. Chang, C.-H. Chou, and W.-Y. Keung, Phys. Rev. **D63**, 091301 (2001), hep-ph/0009292.
- [20] K. Cheung, C.-H. Chou, and O. C. W. Kong (2001), hep-ph/0103183.
- [21] S. M. Barr and A. Zee, Phys. Rev. Lett. **65**, 21 (1990); S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. **63**, 2333 (1989).
- [22] S. Nie and M. Sher, Phys. Rev. **D58**, 097701 (1998);
R. A. Diaz, R. Martinez and J. A. Rodriguez, hep-ph/0010339;
S.K. Kang and K. Y. Lee, hep-ph/0103064.
- [23] D. Bowser-Chao, K. Cheung, and Keung, Phys. Rev. **D59**, 115006 (1999), phase effects on B0 mixing and related, arXiv:hep-ph/9811235.
- [24] Y.-L. Wu, Chin. Phys. Lett. **16**, 339 (1999), arXiv:hep-ph/9805439.
- [25] K. T. Mahanthappa and S. Oh, Phys. Rev. **D62**, 015012(2000).
- [26] For more detailed analyses, see: Y.L. Wu, Carnegie-Mellon Report, hep-ph/9404241, 1994 (unpublished); *A Model for the Origin and Mechanisms of CP Violation*, in: Proceedings at 5th Conference on the Intersections of Particle and Nuclear Physics, St. Petersburg, FL, 31 May- 6 Jun 1994, pp338, edited by S.J. Seestrom (AIP, New York, 1995).
- [27] E. O. Iltan (2001), hep-ph/0103105.
- [28] J. R. Primack and H.R. Quinn, Phys. Rev. **D6**, 3171 (1972); W. A. Bardeen, R. Gastmans and B. Lautrup, Nucl. Phys. B **46**, 319 (1972); J. P. Leveille, Nucl. Phys. B **137**, 63 (1978); H. E. Haber, G. L. Kane and T. Sterling, Nucl. Phys. B **161**, 493 (1979); E. D. Carlson, S.L. Glashow and U. Sarid, Nucl. Phys. B **309**, 597 (1988);.